

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/536,006	-	03/23/2000	Patrick M. Phillips	ELECT-043436	2659	
22045	7590	12/17/2004		EXAMINER		
		MAN P.C.		WINTER, JOHN M		
	WN CENT Y-SECONI	ER D FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHE	TELD, MI	48075		3621		
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1			
Office Action Summan	09/536,006	PHILLIPS ET AL.	50			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M Winter	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6,7,21,29,39,43,44,48 and 49 is/are p	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,21,29,39,43,44,48 and 49 is/are allo						
6) Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.	med.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/536,006

Art Unit: 3621

15

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 7,21,29,39,43,44,48 and 49 remain pending

Response to Arguments

The applicants arguments filed on June 23,2004 have been been fully considered. The amended claims remain rejected in view of the reference to Pollin (US Patent 5,727,249). See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare, Jr et al (US Patent 6,230,148) in view of Pollin (US Patent 5,727,249).

As per claim 6,

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses a method for using one or more computers to electronically clear and cash a check submitted to an on-line merchant from an on-line consumer by electronic means comprising the steps of:

accepting an input of check information submitted by consumer; (Figure 7) verifying the accuracy of the check information; (column 8, lines 41-46)

debiting the consumers checking account from which the check was drawn in an amount indicated in the check information; (column 4, lines 57-59)

crediting the merchant's account in the amount indicated in the check information.(column 4, lines 59-61)

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses does not specifically disclose comparing the routing number to a database to determine if the paper routing number provided by the consumer matches the electronic routing number needed to process the on-line transaction Pollin ('249)discloses comparing the routing number to a database to determine if the paper routing number provided by the consumer matches the electronic routing number needed to process the on-line transaction (Column 9, lines 37-59) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of electronic banking at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('148) method with the Pollin method in order to maximize the likelihood of funds recovery.

Art Unit: 3621

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7,21,29,39,43,44,48 and 49 are allowed.

Conclusion

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammel can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW December 13, 2004

TEGET COM PAVENT EXAMINE